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Prostate cancer racial, socioeconomic, geographic disparities: targeting the genomic landscape and splicing events in search for diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic targets
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Abstract: Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the leading causes of deaths in men globally. This is a heterogeneous and complex disease that urgently warrants further insight into its pathology. Developed countries have thus far the highest PCa incidence rates, with comparatively low mortality rates. Even though PCa in the Asian population seems to have high incidence and mortality rates, the African countries are emerging as the focal center for this disease. It has also been reported that the Sub-Saharan (SSA) countries have both the highest incidence and mortality rates. To date, few studies have reported the link between PCa and African populations. Adequate evidence is still missing to fully comprehend this relationship. While it has been brought to attention that racial, geographical and socioeconomic status are contributing factors, men of African descent across the globe, irrespective of their geographical position have higher PCa incidence and mortality rates compared to their white counterparts. To date, hormone therapy is the mainstay treatment of PCa, while the dysregulation of androgen receptor (AR) signalling is a hallmark of PCa. One of the emerging problems with this therapeutic approach is resistance to antiandrogens, and that AR splice isoforms implicated in the progression of PCa lack the therapeutic ligand-binding domain (LBD) target. AR splice variants targeted therapy is emerging and in clinical trials. Leveraging PCa transcriptomics is key towards PCa precision medicine. The aim of this review is to outline the PCa epidemiology globally and in Africa, PCa associated risk factors, discuss AR signaling and PCa mechanisms, the role of dysregulated splicing in PCa as novel prognostic indicators and therapeutic targets.
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Introduction
Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second most common cancer in men globally, following lung cancer [1]. Even with high survival rates, PCa with frequent metastasis is common, leading to androgen-independent PCa that is resistant to standard therapy, hence castrate resistance PCa (CRPC) cells [2]. The prostate is a male reproductive gland, secreting the prostatic fluid important in the sperm nourishment and transport. Androgen hormonal signalling plays a fundamental role in normal prostate gland development and function. In humans, there are two main androgens-testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT). Both the human androgens bind to the androgen receptor (AR). AR, a member of the nuclear receptor family, is an andro-
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Prostate cancer shows a malignant neoplasm of the prostate. Many of these malignant neoplasms are carcinomas and are of epithelial origin and differentiation. There are also uncommon non-epithelial neoplasms such as the malignant mesenchymal neoplasms-the sarcomas and the lymphomas [5, 10]. The Gleason grading system is the most commonly used histologic grading in PCa. This grading system is entirely based on the architectural pattern of PCa [11-16]. The histological patterns are grouped into five grades, depending on the Gleason score. This Gleason score can range from 2 to 10 by adding the primary and secondary grade patterns. The Gleason score is proportional to the cancer aggressiveness, invasion, metastasis, poor prognosis, lower survival rates and increased mortality. All primary PCa adenocarcinomas, with an exception of hormonal or radiation therapy cases, should be provided with a Gleason grade [17-19]. Compared to other cancer types, PCa presents lower mutation rates and few chromosomal gains or losses. Instead, the broad transcriptomic landscape accompanied by an active AR signaling play a role in PCa development and progression.

It has also been reported that black men in the developed and urban world show lower PCa mortality rates compared to rural men. While intra-racial and inter-and-intracultural, with other cofactors such as religion, tradition and education play a significant role in the PCa patient outcome amongst black men, it cannot be ignored that given equal opportunities such as equal access to better health facilities, increased PCa incidence and mortality rates are still evident in the black population. The sub-Saharan region is emerging as PCa hotspot. Hormonal signal transduction plays an important role in the development of the prostate. The dysregulation of AR signaling is key to the development and prognosis of PCa. Emerging in vitro reports also implicate AR ablation therapy as contributing to PCa progression. Furthermore, the dysregulation of various components of the splicing machinery that includes 7 spliceosome components (SCs) and 19 splicing factors (SFs) in poor PCa prognosis has been reported. It is evident that the knowledge gap to decipher PCa mechanisms is broad. There is therefore an urgent need to develop PCa diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic targets. Alternative regimens are urgently needed to combat this disease and targeting the transcriptome landscape of PCa, particularly the recurrent PCa, also known as castration resistance PCa (CRPC) is a promising tool. Precision medicine holds better prognostic and therapeutic potential in the fight against PCa [20-22]. It has been reported that African American men show higher incidence and mortality rates of 1.6 fold and 2.4 fold than European Americans. Even with the calibration of socioeconomic and other non-genetic contributing factors, African American men still show greater recurrence and mortality rates [5]. This suggests the role of biological factors rather than external factors in these observed PCa disparities [23]. In the recent years, the PCa patient outcome has improved by the development of novel drugs that target AR signaling. The latest PCa drug approvals include abiraterone acetate, enzalutamide, targeting the AR signaling. Due to the resistance in the first generation of AR antagonists, there are ongoing clinical trials for second-generation AR antagonists including antagonists enzalutamide, apalutamide and darolutamide [24]. The use of the omics technologies to improve PCa patient outcome is also on the rise. Furthermore, the interest for PCa stratification and selection of treatment using genetic testing is growing. For example, patients with germ-line mutations in DNA damage response genes such as BRCA2 are at an increased risk of developing PCa and metastatic disease [5, 8].
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Although genetic testing for PCa is not yet part of routine testing in many parts of the world including Africa, this testing approach may be useful in the early determination of the risk of disease progression. The aim of this review is to outline: the PCa epidemiology globally and in Africa, PCa associated risk factors, discuss AR signalling and PCa mechanisms, the role of aberrant splicing events in PCa as novel prognostic indicators and therapeutic targets.

Epidemiology and risk factors

Prostate cancer is the second leading diagnosed cancer in men worldwide, following lung cancer. Based on the 2018 GLOBOCAN stats, Australia/New Zealand has the highest incidence rates of 87.6, accompanied by lowest mortality of 6.9. With Australia and New Zealand having incidence rates of 85.6 and 90.8 per 100000, respectively. The low mortality rates of this geographic area are due to the mortality rate in Australia and New Zealand only being around 10 per 100000. The other countries in this area have mortality rates lower than 7 per 100000.

This is followed by the geographical area with the second highest incidence rate, Northern Europe. This area includes countries with extremely high incidence rates such as Norway (ASR 106.3) and Sweden (ASR 103). In terms of mortality, these countries have a relatively high mortality rate with Norway and Sweden having a mortality rate of 16 per 100000 and 15 per 100000, respectively. The countries with the highest mortality rates in this area are Estonia and Latvia with mortality rates of 21.8 and 21 respectively. The area with the third highest incidence rate is Western Europe. The countries in this geographic area with the highest incidence rates are Ireland and France with incidence rates of 132 and 99 per 100000 respectively. In terms of mortality rates, the countries of this region have relatively low mortality rates with only that of Britain (12.7 per 100000), Ireland (11.4 per 100000) and Portugal (10.5 per 100000) exceeding mortality rates of 10 per 100000.

The area with the fourth highest incidence rate only consists of three countries Canada, USA and Mexico. The USA has the highest incidence rate of 75.7 per 100000. Canada and Mexico have comparatively low incidence rates of 38.2 and 41.6 per 100000, respectively. The mortality rates for these countries are all below 10 per 100000 individuals. Although these areas all have high incidence rates but have low mortality rates. However, the area with the fifth highest incidence rate, the Caribbean, has a relatively high mortality rate of 19.5. This is due to countries such as Martinique with an incidence rate of 158 and mortality rate of 18.7 per 100000 individuals. Barbados also has a high incidence rate of 129.3 and a mortality rate of 48 per 100000 individuals.

While most of the countries in the developed areas have higher incidence rates but lower mortality rates. However, some of the less developed regions have lower incidence rates but high mortality rates. These include areas such as Micronesia/Palau with an incidence rate 48.9 ad a mortality rate of 48.5 per 100000 individuals. This small difference between incidence and mortality rates in this region, indicate that prostate cancer patients have a poor chance of surviving. Another area with poor survival rates is Central and Eastern Europe. Other geographic areas with very poor survival rates include Western Asia, South East Asia, Eastern Asia and Northern Africa. (Figure 1A-C) [25].

Age and family history are the main prostate cancer risk factors and research shows that African men have higher incidence and mortality rates of prostate cancer when compared to their white or Asian counterparts [17, 26, 27]. PCa age incidence rates increase significantly from 50 years and is highest in men of 90 years and above [2]. With regards to the family history risk factor, it is suggested that a man with a primary degree family member with a history of PCa, e.g. a father or a brother, has ~2.5 times higher risk in his life of being diagnosed with prostate cancer. This relative risk increases in men with more than one primary family members diagnosed with prostate cancer [28, 29]. BRCA1 germline mutations and Lynch syndrome have also been reported as risk factors to PCa [8, 30].

Prostate risk in the african population

Reasons for the large variation of prostate cancer in blacks within the African continent are unclear. However, these may be due to differences in medical care access, registry quality,
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Figure 1. Age standardized rates (ASR) (per 100000 men) incidence and mortality for prostate cancer. (A) Worldwide age standardized rate (ASR) for incidence and for (B) mortality. (C) Incidence and mortality rates based on geographical location [25].

including completeness of case ascertainment and estimates of populations at risk, screening practices, geographical location as well as lifestyle factors in subpopulations [31-33]. It is also noteworthy that improved healthcare systems and better reporting of cases may contribute to the rising rates in Africa [32, 34]. However, it is also possible that changes in lifestyle including diet due to recent increased westernization in Africa will also play a role. It has been reported that the SSA has the highest PCa incidence rates [35]. In this region, the Southern Bantu populations represent the highest inter-and-intra population diversity in the world [36, 37]. It has also been reported that South African black men are likely to present with PCa 5 years later than Americans. In addition, black men from rural parts of South Africa are more likely to present with PCa 3 years later than the one from the urban areas [20]. On average, South African rural black men will present with PCa 8 years later than American men, and this late PCa presentation is a common African problem.

Environmental exposures and African practices that are unique to the African population may help better understand PCa risk and biology. Interestingly a recent study showed an increased risk of PCa in the VhaVenda people [38]. While the genetic link could explain these observations, the role played by environmental factors cannot be ignored. For instance, the use of dichlorodiphenyltrichlo-
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Roethane (DDT), with potential carcinogenic effects has been brought to attention. While banned in most countries, the pesticide use of DDT in the VhaVenda Vhembe District, Limpopo, South Africa is still in practice since 1945 [39]. In relation with the US report, linking pesticide use and higher risk of PCa, the link between pregnant mothers exposed to DDT and urogenital defects in boys was identified [39-41]. PCa would not be the first cancer to be linked to an African descent. The increased incidence rates of esophageal cancer in the South African population has also been reported. This has been associated with the brewing of traditional maize beer in iron pots [42]. Another recent study identified the 8q24 PCa risk locus in African American men [43].

**Diagnosis and stratification**

Generally, a multidisciplinary approach is required to manage PCa. It has been recommended by institutions such as the American Urology Association (AUa) and European Association of Urology (EAU) that PCa be managed based on risk stratification [17, 44]. However, this management strategy poses challenges in the SSA countries. This is attributed to the lack of adequate resources. PCa risk stratification may include very low risk, low risk, intermediate risk and high risk. In the developed world, more than 80% of PCa is presented as localized disease, which is not the case in SSA countries, as most of PCa cases are presented already at high risk advanced stage [45].

For a successful PCa patient care, diagnosis and adequate staging play an essential role. Prostate specific antigen (PSA) blood test and digital rectal examination (DRE) remain the mainstay for screening and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) for local staging. This is followed by transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) guided biopsy. It has been reported that over 60% of PCa cases in asymptomatic patients with normal DRE and increased PSA go undiagnosed. PCa is classified into different risk-associated groups; very-low-risk PCa, low-risk PCa, Intermediate-risk PCa and high-risk PCa [17]. The very low risk PCa patient group has PSA value <10 ng/dL T1-T2a tumour and a group 1 Gleason grade [44]. Patients with very low risk PCa can be managed with active surveillance. In African countries, the incidence rates of very low risk PCa remains poorly determined due to factors indicated above. Patients with low risk PCa are considered to have PSA < 10 ng/dL, T1 - T2a tumor, Gleason grade group 1. Management of this group can still be achieved by active surveillance and focal therapy. Patients with intermediate risk PCa are further divided into favourable intermediate risk and unfavourable intermediate risk. The favourable group has PSA 10-20 with group 2 Gleason grade, while the unfavourable group has PSA 10-20, T2b-c or Gleason group grade 2 or group 3 with PSA <20 with Gleason grade group 3. CT scan or MRI are recommended for this group. Radiation therapy along with androgen therapy are recommended. The high risk localized PCa in men is life threatening. Patients with high risk PCa have PSA >20 ng/dL, T3 clinical stage and group 4-5 Gleason grade. The rate of metastatic progression is high in this group, and therefore a CT scan or MRI with bone scan is recommended. High-risk PCa patients should be treated with radiation therapy and androgen deprivation therapy [44].

**AR signaling and PCa mechanisms**

Similarly, to other cancer types, oncogene activation and tumour suppressor deregulation play important roles in the development and progression of PCa. For example, c myc is upregulated in PCa, while the loss of RB expression promotes CRPC. Furthermore, the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is reported to be elevated in PCa and this is attributed to the loss of PTEN activity [46, 47]. Additionally, elevated levels of human epidermal growth factor 2 and 3 (HER2/3) receptor tyrosine kinases are associated with poor prognosis in PCa patients [48, 49].

**AR signaling in the prostate gland**

Both testosterone and dihydrotestosterone can bind to the AR, which is an androgen dependent transcriptional activator and a member of nuclear receptor family [24]. As a nuclear hormone receptor, AR receptor protein has three functional domains: the ligand-binding domain (LBD), the central DNA binding domain (DBD) and the NH2-terminal unstructured transcriptional activation domain. The bipartite nuclear localization signal (NLS) is harboured between
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Figure 2. The Structure of the Androgen Receptor. The Androgen receptor consists of multiple domains including two activation regions, a DNA binding domain and a ligand binding domain.

the LBD and DBD, Figure 2. During androgen signal transduction, AR binds to androgen response element (ARE) as a homodimer, then both the LBD and DBD mediate dimerization [5, 22, 24, 50, 51].

In their inactive state, AR receptors are bound to heat shock proteins and located in the cytoplasm. Binding of androgen to AR causes conformational change and release from heat shock proteins. AR then translocates from the cytoplasm to the nucleus where it recognises ARE in the genomic DNA, recruits coactivator factors and initiates transcription of target genes such as prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and the transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) [24, 52]. During AR signal transduction, the AR chromatin modifiers and coactivators assemble into pro-transcriptional complexes, which facilitate the transcription of AR target genes by recruiting RNA pol II to the transcription start site (TSS) [53]. FOXA1, GATA-binding protein 2 (GATA2), and homeobox B13 (HOXB13) are AR chromatin modifiers which unwind and contribute to chromatin accessibility for AR [54, 55]. The recruitment of AR coactivators such as CBP (CREB binding protein) and SRC-1 (steroid receptor coactivator 1) follows the binding of AR to its ARE, initiating transcription of AR targeted genes which include genes involved in cell cycle, hormonal response signal transduction and lipid metabolism, growth and survival [53, 54, 56-58]. Figure 3 demonstrates AR signalling.

AR alternative splicing

Alternative splicing can lead to splice variants with antagonist functions [22]. It has also been reported that alternative splicing in tumours is ~30% upregulated compared to normal tissues [59]. In PCa, AR splice variants have been reported to impede standard therapy and are involved in PCa progression. The AR splice variants are distinct in structure and in function. Mature AR transcripts have a transcribed intron. As the majority of the AR splice variants lack the LBD, the transcribed intron region encodes a short variant peptide capable of replacing the LBD. The AR splice variants do not necessarily replace or maintain the original function of the full-length AR isoform. In rela-
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Figure 3. Androgen receptor (AR) signalling. When inactive, the heat shock protein bound AR is localised in the cytoplasm. Androgen hormonal signalling causes the inactive AR to dimerize, bind to the androgen and translocate to the nucleus, where it will bind to the ARE of target genes.

As they lack the domain targeted by traditional therapies, the LBD, most of the AR splice variants do not respond to the hormone therapy regimens [51]. It has also been reported that the deletion of LBD produces AR mutants that are androgen independent, and this might render the LBD a negative regulator of the AR transcriptional activity [64].

Interestingly, it has been reported that activated AR molecules can act as both activators and repressors of genes involved in PCa progression [65, 66]. Sha et al., (2020) showed that the epithelial splicing regulator proteins (ESRP1 and ESRP2) are the facilitating splicing factors used by AR to regulate pre-mRNA splicing in PCa cells. Depending on the binding position, ESRPs promote exon inclusion and exclusion is associated with PCa progression [67]. Shah et al., (2020) also demonstrated that the genomic or pharmacological modulation the AR signaling causes the dysregulation of splicing events of functional genes. This group also proposed the link between alternative splicing of functionally relevant genes and PCa progression.

Shah et al., (2020) reported that the clinically used antiandrogens modulate AR signalling, dysregulate AR associated splicing events, thereby unintentionally contributing to PCa progression. Understanding the PCa transcriptome landscape holds promising diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic potentials. Several
Figure 4. The human androgen receptor (AR) full length with its splice variants. These isoforms are named according to the number of exons they lack. For example, Arv567es lacks exons 5, 6 and 7, compared to full length (FL).

studies including Shah et al., (2020) showed that the physiological roles of AR transcription targets and AR signalling alternative splicing gene targets might differ [67-69].

This group further demonstrated that the ablation of AR signalling leads to the generation of abnormal transcripts that are translated into immunogenic peptides, resulting in immune response [70]. PCa patients on AR inhibitors may therefore benefit from immune therapy. It was demonstrated that PCa cells treatment with enzalutamide lead to unintended splice switch, which favoured the tumourigenic vari-
ant of the PLA2G2A gene [22]. Although this was an in vitro study, in vivo studies still help shed more light on AR signalling related splicing events and the progression of PCa.

**Aberrant splicing and PCa pathogenesis**

A spliceosome comprises of small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (SNRNPs) and core-spliceosome-associated proteins and coordinates the splicing process in eukaryotes. Additionally, the spliceosome interacts with additional proteins, the splicing factors to carry out pre-RNA splicing [71]. Jimenez-Vacas et al., (2020) reported the dysregulation in the splicing machinery components and direct link to PCa aggressiveness. These included 7 spliceosome components (SCs) and 19 splicing factors (SFs). This group reported the association between PCa recurrence and aggressiveness and the upregulated expression of SNRNP200, SRSF3 and SRRM1. The overexpression components/factors were also associated with the increased AR-7 variant. In addition to the AR splicing dysregulation, other studies have revealed differential alternative splicing (DAS) patterns of REST4, SST5TMD4, XBP1s, PKM2 to be associated with PCa development and poor prognosis [72-78]. Various studies have also demonstrated the association between increased expression of the splicing factors (SFs) RBM3, U2AF2, ESRP1, ESRP2 and NOVA1 with poor PCa prognosis [79-81].

Differential alternative splicing (DAS) compared to differential gene expression (DGE) has been shown to have a significant role in cancer pathogenesis, particularly PCa [82, 83]. About 1,876 different genes including the Nuclear Factor 1 were shown to undergo DAS in African American men than European American men. Leveraging the RNA splicing landscape holds great potential to deciphering the underlying mechanisms for PCa racial disparities and open new effective therapeutic doors [82].

**Targeted therapy**

Decreasing AR antagonists efficiency and progression to CRPC may be attributed to AR splice variants transcriptional network [3]. The development of next generation AR antagonists that target the DBD and N-terminal domain rather than the LBD may hold promising therapeutic effects. For example, EPI-001, AR antagonist disrupts coactivator recruitment independent of ligand binding, thereby reducing AR transcriptional activity [84]. Figure 5. EPI-001 is currently in preclinical testing and if clinical testing validates EPI-001 as a therapeutic agent, then EPI-001 can effectively be used to combat challenges posed by AR splice variants and should potently inhibit constitutively active AR splice variants that are not affected by traditional LBD-targeting antagonists and significantly enhance treatment effectiveness compared to first generation AR antagonist. In addition, AR antisense oligonucleotides such as
EZN-4176 also downregulated AR expression and are in phase I/II clinical trials [85]. In addition to transcriptional activity, AR posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation, acetylation and ubiquitylation also play a role in PCa progression to CRPC, therefore posttranslational AR modifications also hold promising therapeutic potential.

**Alternative splicing components as therapeutic targets**

The inhibition of the spliceosome by spliceosome inhibitors such as spliceostatin-A and Pladienolide-B has been suggested as anti-cancer therapeutic targets [86, 87]. The broad inhibition of the spliceosome was further reported to be less specific and with limited efficacy, while targeting of specific SCs and SFs holds novel therapeutic potential for cancer, PCa in particular [83]. Various AS targeted therapeutic compounds have been developed, and these include antisense oligonucleotides, targeting mRNAs for degradation. Despite their use to treat other diseases such as Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy, antisense oligonucleotides AZD-9150 and AZD4785 targeting STAT3 and KRAS to treat solid advanced and metastatic diseases are in clinical trials [82, 88-90]. In addition, small molecule inhibitors are being developed to target the dysregulated splicing factor (SF) kinases and spliceosome components [91]. In addition, natural products and their compounds have also been reported as promising potential therapeutic targets against AS [82, 92].

**First and second-generation antiandrogens**

Medical castration has been the main treatment for advanced prostate cancer. This treatment involves the use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH). These analogs block the production of testicular androgens by suppressing gonadotropin secretion. GnRH analogs include leuprolide, goserelin, and buserelin [24]. In combination therapy, GnRH is used in conjunction with antiandrogens to promote the survival of PCa patients [24]. Ultimately, patients develop resistance to this type of treatment. However, it was reported that even after androgen deprivation, androgen levels remained detectable and activated the AR receptor in PCa tissues with recurrent PCa. Additionally, PSA gene levels remained detectable levels in these tissues [93, 94]. In light of this, it has been suggested that recurrent cancers following castration are not really androgen independent, as they still depend on AR signalling to grow and survive. These recurrent PCa have thus been classified as castration resistant PCa (CRPC) [24, 95]. To overcome this challenge of castration resistance, a second generation of antiandrogens, more potent with increased binding affinity for the AR have been developed [96, 97]. These include, Enzalutamide, Apalutamide, and Darolutamide.

**Clonal evolution, de-differentiation of small-cell prostate cancer (SCPC)**

The possibility of CRPC undergoing de-differentiation and clonal evolution has been reported. This may occur as a result of complete androgen deprivation and AR degradation therapies, Figure 6. These proposed AR negative small cell PCa cells that are more CRPC will eventually lose AR expression [98, 99]. It is further proposed that these AR negative small cell PCa will no longer respond to any efforts made by hormone or AR targeting therapy. This therefore highlights the urgent need to develop novel precise therapies. Interestingly, molecular analysis revealed the aberrant expression of aurora kinase A (AURKA) and N-myc proto-oncogene (MYCN) [98].

**Treatment by AR signal transduction**

Efforts in pursuit of improved treatment for CRPC are ongoing. The optimum/complete ablation of the AR signalling has become point of focus. This was propelled in particular by the clinical success of the selective estrogen receptor downregulator (SERD)-Faslodex [100, 101]. Similarly, selective androgen receptor downregulators (SARD) have also been developed and are currently in clinical trials [102]. This SARD compound AZD3514 causes severe AR conformational change [102, 103]. Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras (PROTACs) have also been reported to target and degrade AR. PROTACs work by binding to the target protein and the E3 ligase system, formed in a bipartite ligand-AR molecule. Enzalutamide-derived ARCC-4 and aryloxy tetramethylclobutane-derived ARD-69 are AR targeting PROTACs, whose in vivo anti-prostate cancer
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**Figure 6.** De-differentiation and clonal evolution of prostate cancer cells. The castrate resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) cells are resistant to hormonal therapy. Constitutive androgen deprivation and androgen receptor ablation therapies may lead to absolute non-response and de-differentiation of the already CRPC to SCPC and clonal evolution of this cell population.

...effects remains to be confirmed [104, 105]. Additionally, anti-prostate cancer epigenetic regulators are also being pursued.

Posttranscriptional AR gene silencing is another strategy to target AR signalling. For example, through microRNA (miRNA) regulation. The global dysregulation of miRNAs in cancer has been previously documented and may contribute to the development and progression of cancers. MiRNAs have been identified as targets or effectors of cancer hallmarks. These include angiogenesis, uncontrolled DNA replication and cell proliferation, resistance to cell death, suppression of growth control mechanisms, invasion and metastasis. The roles of miRNAs in the regulation of hallmarks of cancer continue to be investigated [106, 107]. It is estimated that half of the mRNA transcripts are regulated by miRNAs. Furthermore, one miRNA can regulate tens of mRNA transcripts, resulting in simultaneous regulation of multiple biological pathways [108, 109]. There are an increasing number of miRNA-based clinical trials in the clinical management of cancers. In clinical trials, these short non-coding RNAs are targeted as prognosticators and therapeutic targets, with the aim of using them as another means for defining the molecular and clinical heterogeneity that exist within cancers and establishing the heterogeneity of PCa can assist in the development of new treatments. In addition, the effect of miRNA differential expression on chemotherapeutic drugs has been documented. Furthermore, reports have shown evidence of the miRNAs exploiting the cell cycle in favour of tumourigenesis by either facilitating entry and progression through the cell cycle (oncogenic miRNAs) or by by-passing the cell cycle arrest (due to the loss of tumour suppressor miRNAs) [110].

**Limitations and challenges**

It has been reported that PCa patients are asymptomatic until disease has progressed and this poses serious challenges for clinicians [18]. Despite the current PCa management status in Africa, generally PCa is a highly prevalent disease with relatively low rates of mortality. One of the major challenges associated with PCa is early diagnosis and prognosis. Differentiating between clinically significant and insignificant PCa remains a challenge, with the current diagnostic tests limited by either false positives or false negatives. There is therefore an urgent need to address this gap. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) RNA sequencing is also being currently explored to identify molecular diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. Furthermore, being the second most populated and second largest continent, the African population represents one of the most genetically and culturally diversified populations in the world [20]. With regards to cancer burden, prostate cancer in particular, the lack of uniform systems for reporting and monitoring are not in place [31]. With these factors highlighted, tracing and verifying the African genetic link to PCa will need broad analyses.
that will also include environmental factors across the African continent.

The lack of resources for PCa management in South Africa such as national PCa registries is a problem, whereas these registries exist for women cancers such as breast and cervical. The inequality between male and female cancers in South Africa is further revealed by the national funding institutions to fund female-related cancers. Other life-orientated factors highlighting the status of men in the society also negatively contribute towards the inadequate management of PCa in South Africa. For example, men (elderly men in particular) hold high esteemed leadership positions. Culturally, in black South African families, men are considered superior and heads of families. Generally, in such communities, sickness such as PCa is associated with a supernatural link. This is exacerbated by the lack of or very little background education. Presented together, all these factors negatively influence men's attitude to seek medical help [31, 111].

Resources and infrastructure is another major problem, particularly severely affecting the rural areas of South Africa. Usually patients that require a medical specialist in these settings cannot receive medical help but will rather be referred to one of the provincial hospitals that usually are miles away. The problem is compounded by the lack of staffing even in the rural provincial hospitals. The burden of infectious diseases such as HIV/AIDS and TB in the SSA and South Africa even worsens the current cancer, PCa management in these countries [95]. Efforts have been made that involve men of African origin 40 years and older undergo PSA testing, as recommended by the PCa Foundation of South Africa [38]. The cultural, linguistic, socioeconomic, geographical differences still pose as negative barriers against PCa management.

Compared to the developed world, the disproportion between the incidence and mortality rates in Africa, particularly Southern Africa is alarming. This may be due to a number of factors, of which the African ethnicity is emerging as one of the contributing factors. It has been reported that the true incidence rates of PCa in the sub-Saharan African (SSA) region is under-reported, with the majority of cases remaining undiagnosed. It has also been reported that most men in the SSA regions still cannot access standard treatment for localized PCa [17]. Despite the general decrease of PCa mortality rates, increased screening, equal access to health-care and adjusted socioeconomic status, recurrent and aggressive PCa in men of African ancestry is still linked to higher PCa mortality rates [82, 112].

Conclusions

To improve the overall PCa patient care, it is obvious that PCa therapeutics will move toward precision medicine with the aid of whole genome sequencing. Insights into PCa transcriptomics hold great potential in overcoming PCa recurrence and significantly lower mortality rates particularly in vulnerable populations. Despite challenges faced in the African populations with the management of PCa, African populations hold the key to deciphering the complex biology around PCa. Although the African genetic link to PCa has been mapped, the battle against PCa management in Africa is far from over. With the emerging use of artificial intelligence (AI), NGS and precision oncology, decoding the transcriptomic landscape of CRPC is possible. The association between aberrant AS and PCa poor prognosis and drug resistance in men of African ancestry has been revealed. Previous reports such as loss of PTEN being the main contributor have been proven other in black men with PCa. While AS dysregulation in PCa remains to be fully explored, understanding the RNA splicing landscape and its impact in racial PCa racial disparities holds promising diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic PCa targets.

Prostate cancer (PCa) is asymptomatic until it is advanced and therefore difficult to detect at early stages. Generally, an individual seeks medical attention when symptoms are evident, unless prior education is in place to teach about the deceptive nature of the specific condition. With regards to PCa, there is an urgent need for early screening and detection in at risk populations. As it is the case with hypertension or cervical cancer and breast cancer, Urologists, particularly in Africa, as advocates for men’s health are lagging behind the efforts similar to those of women’s health advocates with regards to community engagement.
Due to high prevalence of PCa in African men, it has led to the conclusion by the global urology community that PCa is more aggressive in Black men. PCa is a heterogeneous, complex and a multifactorial disease. Although still poorly understood, the genetic and environmental factors are significant risk factors in PCa and remain to be elucidated. Many studies are now being conducted to ascertain the genetic basis for this disease, and a few leads such as the dysregulation of the androgen receptor-signalling pathway in Black men have been identified, and the chromosomal mapping of the PCa risk associated genetics of African descent. However, if the urologists are waiting for black men to present PCa symptoms, then by definition the disease will be advanced. Advanced disease is characterised by a high Gleason score on biopsy. Aggressive disease is also characterised by a high Gleason score. So advanced disease and aggressive disease would histologically appear similar.

While PCa is likely to represent a major contributor to the overall burden of cancer in South African men, practices related to PCa screening, detection, diagnosis and treatment need to be addressed. There is a serious need to improve awareness/education and understanding of PCa, particularly amongst high-risk communities. On this note, barriers such as underestimated PCa's risk, inadequate awareness, higher level of fear of loss of masculinity, embarrassment, religion, culture, lack of prioritisation of health-care may have to be overcome. In an attempt to address this public health problem, urologists, scientists, communities' and religious leaders will have to work in synergy to combat PCa. While precision oncology holds therapeutic potential, further work is essential to ensure that awareness in high-risk communities is addressed. Encouraging a health relationship of understanding and trust amongst all stakeholders to combat PCa will also be beneficial. Furthermore, the collaboration between African countries, particularly the SSA, establishing uniform and consistent PCa management systems in these regions will aid in the decoding of the PCa transcriptome and provide adequate evidence of the relationship between PCa and African familial history. Both the intrinsic/genetic and extrinsic factors may contribute to PCa pathogenesis, understanding the PCa transcriptome may help shed light in PCa stratification, to improve diagnosis, prognosis and targeted therapy for PCa subgroups, Figure 7.

The development of PCa novel drugs has improved patient outcome over the years. However, men of African descent still present with advanced and aggressive PCa. While PCa is highly heterogeneous and complex, there is a growing global interest in PCa stratification and treatment selection on the basis of molecular characterization. This would be beneficial to PCa patient subgroups outcome for early diagnosis, improved prognosis and personalized treatment. Although AR splice variants have been implicated in PCa progression and aggressiveness, decoding the PCa transcriptome may be useful in the understanding the underlying
molecular mechanisms and the precise role of potentially aberrantly splice variants with unelucidated functions in PCa pathogenesis.
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