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Energy stress-induced IncRNA HAND2-AS1 represses HIF1α-mediated energy metabolism and inhibits osteosarcoma progression
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Abstract: During recent years, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been recognized as key regulators in the development and progression of human cancers, however, their roles in osteosarcoma metabolism are still not well understood. The present study aims to investigate the expression profiles and potential modulation of specific lncRNA(s) in osteosarcoma metabolism. The high-throughput HiSeq sequencing was performed to screen for abnormally expressed lncRNAs in osteosarcoma cells cultured under glucose starvation condition, and lncRNA HAND2-AS1 was eventually identified as one that was significantly up-regulated when compared with normal cultured cells. Mechanistic investigations indicated that knockdown of HAND2-AS1 abrogated the energy stress-induced effect on cell apoptosis and proliferation, and promoted osteosarcoma progression. Moreover, knockdown of HAND2-AS1 promoted glucose uptake, lactate production, and the expression level of a serious of enzymes that involved in energy metabolism. Subsequently, RNA pull-down and RNA immuneprecipitation revealed that, upon energy stress, HAND2-AS1 regulated osteosarcoma metabolism through sequestering FBP1 from binding to HIF1α, thereby releasing HIF1α expression and promoting the protein level. Taken together, our integrated approach reveals a regulatory mechanism by lncRNA HAND2-AS1 to control energy metabolism and tumor development in osteosarcoma. Thus, HAND2-AS1 may be a potential biomarker and therapeutic target for the repression of osteosarcoma metabolism.
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Introduction

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone malignancy in children and young adults, and accounts for approximately 60% of malignant bone tumors in the first two decades of life [1]. Approximately 80% of osteosarcoma patients have metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis, and metastasis is a consistent problem in tumor prognosis and treatment [2]. While the molecular mechanism of osteosarcoma has gained considerable attention, the mechanisms underlying its initiation and progression remain unclear. Thus, a good understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying osteosarcoma progression and metastasis is urgent to improve the diagnosis and effective therapy at the onset of the disease.

It has been recognized for a long time that the metabolism of cancer cells is significantly different from that of their normal counterparts. It is well accepted that glycolysis is an important process in react to energy stress, through which a large amount of glucose are consumed to convert to lactate. This unique metabolic phenotype is known as the Warburg effect, characterized by promoted glycolysis and decreased oxidative phosphorylation [3]. Conceptual progress has made us to better understand that the chronic and uncontrolled cell proliferation and metastasis are representative of the essence of osteosarcoma, which involves not only deregulated control of cell proliferation but also making corresponding adjustments of energy metabolism in order to accelerate cell growth and division.
The biological functions are regulated by various of transcription factors, such as HIF1α transcription factor, which has been widely reported to be overexpressed in cancer cells and commonly functions as an oncogene [4-6]. Previous studies reported that HIF1α regulated glucose metabolism through associating with IncRNAs, thereby promoting glycolysis or energy metabolism [7, 8]. Under hypoxic conditions or within solid tumor microenvironments, specific genes were rapidly induced by HIF1α, and these genes then disrupted the HIF1α-VHL interaction, stabilized HIF1α, and increased expression of HIF1α-responsive genes such as glycolytic enzymes Glut1 and LDHA, thereafter promoting glycolysis in various cancer types [9].

With the advanced development of whole genome and transcriptome sequencing technologies and the ENCODE project, it is more and more clear that most of the genome DNA is represented in processed genes lacking of protein-coding capacity [10]. LncRNAs participate in several different biological processes including epigenetic regulation, nuclear import, cell cycle control, nuclear and cytoplasmic trafficking, imprinting, cell differentiation, alternative splicing, RNA decay, transcription and translation [11]. LncRNAs can regulate gene expression at post-transcriptional level [12] and modulate post-transcriptional gene silencing via the mRNA regulation [13]. However, the functional role of specific IncRNA(s) in glucose metabolism under energy stress condition is still not well known.

In current study, we investigated energy stress-regulated IncRNA regulation in osteosarcoma by performing the genome-wide sequencing in cells that were cultured under glucose starvation condition. In addition, we identified that, IncRNA HAND2-AS1, repressed HIF1α-mediated glycolysis and suppressed osteosarcoma tumorigenesis under glucose starvation condition.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Human osteosarcoma cell lines MG-63, SAOS-2, U-2OS, HOS, SW1353 were obtained from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All of the cell lines were free of mycoplasma contamination (tested by the vendors using the MycoAlert kit from Lonza). No cell lines used in this study are found in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines (ICLAC and NCBI Biosample) based on short tandem repeats (STR) profiling performed by vendors. All osteosarcoma cell lines were maintained in DMEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 37°C in 5% CO₂ and 95% air. For glucose starvation experiments, cells were cultured in DMEM with different concentrations of glucose (1, or 25 mM) + 10% dialyzed FBS for 48 h, followed by functional experiments.

cDNA library construction and HiSeq sequencing analysis

Total RNA from five osteosarcoma cell lines that were culture with glucose starvation or normal condition was extracted by one-step extraction using a Trizol kit (Life Technologies, USA), and the purity and quantity of RNA were determined by UV spectrophotometry. cDNA library construction and sequencing were performed according to previously described methods [14].

Cell transfection

The small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that target IncRNA HAND2-AS1 were synthesized and named as si-HAND2-AS1 (Ribo Bio Cooperation, Guangzhou, China) and embeded with GFP fluorescence for transfection quality control. The si-Negative Control (si-NC) was also provided by Genechem Corporation. Control siRNA and siRNA against HIF1α was purchased from OriGene. Forty-eight h after transfection, osteosarcoma cells were planted at a 24-well plate, then 100 nM of specific RNA oligoribonucleotides as well as negative controls were transfected into the cells with Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequences of siRNAs are as follows: si-HAND2-AS1 #1: GAATCCACTTCAACCGGCTdTdT; si-HAND2-AS1 #2: TTTATTTTGGTAGTAAGGGdTdT; si-HAND2-AS1 #3: AACGUCGCCGGUAGUACG AdTdT; si-HIF1α: UCGACUAUCUGCUCCAAGUUCdTdT; si-NC (GFP): CAUCGGGACCUG GAGCGCACCdTdT.

TUNEL assay

TUNEL staining was performed to evaluate cell apoptosis. In brief, osteosarcoma cells were
treated with 10 nM docetaxel combined with 20 μM Hemin or Znpp for 24 h and fixed by using 4% formaldehyde. Cells were fixed and stained with TUNEL kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Vazyme, TUNEL Bright-Red Apoptosis Detection Kit, A113). TUNEL-positive cells were counted under fluorescence microscopy (DMI4000B, Leica).

Cell viability assay

The changed cell viability after transfection or other treatment was assayed using the CCK8 Kit (Dojindo, Rockville, MD, USA). In brief, osteosarcoma cell lines were seeded into a 96-well plate and then treated with serial types of siRNAs for different time. After, cell cultures were treated with the CCK8 reagent and further cultured for 2 h. The optical density at 450 nm was measured with a spectrophotometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, MA, USA). The percentage of the control samples of each cell line was calculated thereafter.

Nuclear fractionation

Nuclear fractionation was performed with a PARIS™ Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). For nuclear fractionation, 1×10⁷ cells were collected and re-suspended in the cell fraction buffer and incubated on ice for 10 min. After centrifugation, supernatant and nuclear pellet were preserved for RNA extraction using a cell disruption buffer according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from primary osteosarcoma cells using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). And then, the cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng extracted total RNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Takara Bio Company, Shiga, Japan) and amplified by qRT-PCR with an SYBR Green Kit (Takara Bio Company) on an ABI PRISM 7500 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with the housekeeping gene GAPDH as an internal control. The 2-ΔΔCT method was used to determine the relative quantification of gene expression levels. All the primers were synthesized by RiboBio, and the premier sequences are as follows: HAND2-AS1 (Forward): 5'-GGGTGTTTAGGACGAGGACAGAC-3', (Reverse) 5'-TCTAGAGGTTGCACTATTTGAAGC-3'; HIF-1α (Forward): 5'-GACCCGCAAGCTGTGAAC-3, (Reverse): 5'-ATGGTGCTGAAGACGCCAGT-3. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)

The Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNAs were immunoprecipitated using anti-FBP1 (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) antibody. Total RNA and controls were also assayed to demonstrate that the detected signals were from RNAs specifically binding to FBP1. The final analysis was performed using qRT-PCR and shown as the fold enrichment. The RIP RNA fraction Ct value was normalized to the input RNA fraction Ct value.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis (FISH)

Nuclear and cytosolic fraction separation was performed using a PARIS kit (Life Technologies), and RNA FISH probes were designed and synthesized by Bogu according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min and then washed with PBS. The fixed cells were treated with pepsin and dehydrated through ethanol. The air-dried cells were incubated further with 40 nM of the FISH probe in hybridization buffer. After hybridization, the slide was washed, dehydrated and mounted with Prolong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI for detection. The slides were visualized for immunofluorescence with an Olympus fluorescence microscope with an attached CCD camera.

Western blots

Cell lysates were prepared with RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors (Sigma). Protein concentrations were measured with the BCA Protein Assay according to the manufacturer’s manual (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Equal amounts of protein were separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with a 1:1000 solution of anti-
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Statistical analysis

For glucose starvation versus normal cell lines, differences in mean expression were determined using Student’s t test. The correlation analysis was evaluated using the Spearman test. The results were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. Error bars in figures represent SD. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism (v5.01) software. The package plots and function heatmap in R software were used for mapping.

Results

Energy stress induces IncRNA HAND2-AS1 in osteosarcoma cells

To identify the candidate IncRNAs that regulate cancer cell metabolism, we performed a high-throughput HiSeq sequencing array by extracting RNAs from osteosarcoma cells cultured with glucose starvation or normal condition. As shown in Figure 1A, we identified a group of IncRNAs that are dysregulated in cells that experienced energy stress in contrast to normal cells. Among these, we found that IncRNA HAND2-AS1, an IncRNA transcribed antisense adjacent to Heart and Neural Crest Derivatives Expressed 2 (HAND2) in chromosome 4q33-34, was significantly up-regulated in glucose starved-cells; however, the HAND2-AS1 expression was not affected by glutamine starvation (Figure 1B). In addition, treatment with the glucose analog 2-deoxy-glucose (2DG), another energy stress inducer that inhibits hexokinase and blocks glycolysis, yielded similar results (Figure 1C). Therefore, our results showed that energy stress induces IncRNA HAND2-AS1 in osteosarcoma cells.

Knockdown of IncRNA HAND2-AS1 relieved energy stress-mediated cell growth and apoptosis

We then investigated the functional role of IncRNA HAND2-AS1 in energy stress condition. MG-63 and SAOS-2 were used for further func-
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Additional investigations, because they showed a relative higher HAND2-AS1 expression. Three specific siRNAs that could potently knockdown IncRNA HAND2-AS1 expression was generated, and si-HAND2-AS1 #1 was chosen for subsequent studies (Figure 2A). The CCK8 assay showed that knockdown of HAND2-AS1 had little effect on cell viability when the cells were cultured in 25 mM glucose containing medium, however, HAND2-AS1 knockdown significantly promoted cell viability when cells were treated with 1 mM glucose containing medium (Figure

Figure 2. HAND2-AS1 deficiency reversed energy stress-regulated proliferation and apoptosis. (A) IncRNA HAND2-AS1 was downregulated by transfection of specific siRNAs in MG-63 and SAOS-2 cells. (B, C) MG-63 (A) and SAOS-2 (B) cells transfected with si-HAND2-AS1 or si-NC were cultured in glucose starvation or normal condition for different days as indicated, and then subjected to cell proliferation analysis. (D) Colony formation assay was performed after transfection of indicated siRNAs for 48 h in both osteosarcoma cells. (E) TUNEL assay was performed to detect the effect of HAND2-AS1 knockdown on cell apoptosis in MG-63 and SAOS-2 cells.
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2B and 2C). In addition, transfection with si-HAND2-AS1 showed no effect on cell-cycle pattern (data not shown). Furthermore, a significant increased colony formation ability was observed in cells transfected with si-HAND2-AS1 in contrast to control in osteosarcoma cells (Figure 2D). We then evaluated the role of HAND2-AS1 on cell apoptosis under glucose starvation situation. As shown in Figure 2E, HAND2-AS1 deficiency reversed the apoptosis caused by energy stress (1 mM glucose condition) as evidenced by the TUNEL assay.

*IncRNA HAND2-AS1 deficiency promotes glucose uptake and lactate production*

After having observed the effect of HAND2-AS1 on cell growth and apoptosis under glucose starvation, we further investigated the underlying mechanism by which HAND2-AS1 exerts the suppressive role. We examined whether HAND2-AS1 knockdown had effects on the expression of a serious of enzymes that involved in energy metabolism. As shown in Figure 3A, knockdown of HAND2-AS1 significantly promoted the protein expression of enzymes that are involved in energy metabolism, such as Glut1, HK2, ALDOC and MCT4 when the cells were cultured in energy deficiency condition. Subsequently, we detected the lactate production and glucose uptake, and found that knockdown of HAND2-AS1 dramatically increased the lactate production and glucose uptake (Figure 3B and 3C). Finally, Seahorse experiment showed that si-HAND2-AS1 promoted the acidification rate of osteosarcoma cells (Figure 3D).

*IncRNA HAND2-AS1 regulates energy metabolism by inhibiting HIF1α*

Glucose metabolism is largely regulated by the master transcription factors, including c-Myc, HIF1α and HIF2α. We detected the protein lev-
The role of IncRNA HAND2-AS1 in osteosarcoma cell metabolism

Figure 4. HAND2-AS1 regulates glucose metabolism by suppressing HIF1α level. (A) Si-RNA transfected osteosarcoma cells cultured under 25 mM or 1 mM glucose containing medium for 48 h, then subjected to western blot to detect the protein level of HIF1α. (B) qRT-PCR was performed to detect the mRNA level of HIF1α after transfection of specific siRNAs in MG-63 cells. (C) Validation of knockdown effect of HIF1α after transfection of specific siRNAs in MG-63 cells. (D) TUNEL assay indicated that knockdown of HIF1α abrogated the si-HAND2-AS1 induced inhibition of cell apoptosis in MG-63 cells. (E) CCK8 assay indicated that co-transfection of si-HIF1α reversed the elevated proliferation rate caused by HAND2-AS1 knockdown in MG-63 cells under glucose starvation condition. (F, G) Co-transfection of si-HIF1α reversed glucose uptake (F) and lactate production (G) caused by HAND2-AS1 knockdown in MG-63 cells under glucose starvation condition.

Levels of c-Myc, HIF1α and HIF2α after knockdown of HAND2-AS1 in osteosarcoma cells under glucose starvation condition. As shown in Figure 4A, knockdown of HAND2-AS1 showed no effect on HIF1α protein level under normal culture condition, but promoted the protein expression level of HIF1α when cultured in glucose deficiency circumstance. In addition,
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HAND2-AS1 knockdown showed little effect on the expression change of c-Myc and HIF2α protein under glucose starvation condition (data not shown). Notably, HAND2-AS1 did not affect the HIF1α mRNA level, suggesting that HAND2-AS1 may regulate HIF1α at post-transcriptional level (Figure 4B).

Next, we determined whether HIF1α played a causal role during the HAND2-AS1-regulated glucose metabolism. HIF1α was down-regulated by transfection of specific siRNA (Figure 4C). Knockdown of HIF1α significantly reversed the repressed cell growth, increased cell apoptosis, enhanced glucose absorb and lactate production induced by HAND2-AS1 knockdown in 1 mM glucose culturing condition in MG-63 cells (Figure 4D-G). Collectively, our results suggest that HAND2-AS1 regulates glucose metabolism through inhibiting HIF1α levels at the post-transcriptional level.

LncRNA HAND2-AS1 sequesters FBP1 from binding to HIF1α mRNA

We then sought to define the underlying regulatory pathway by which HAND2-AS1 affects the expression level of HIFα. By treating with cycloheximide (CHX), we found that HAND2-AS1 did not affect the protein stability of HIF-1α under glucose starvation condition (Figure 5A). Subsequently, RNA-pull down experiment was performed followed by mass spectrometry to search for the HAND2-AS1-associated proteins in the glucose starvation condition. As shown in Table 1, a list of correlative HAND2-AS1-associated proteins was identified. Then, we evaluated these potential regulator genes and sought to identify the specific protein that may regulate HIFα at the post-transcriptional level. Those assays validated Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase 1 (FBP1) as a potential interacted protein by HAND2-AS1. It was previously...
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reported that FBP1 interacted with ARE areas within 3'UTR of HIF1α gene and activates HIF-1α translation without influencing its mRNA level in clear cell renal cell carcinoma [15]. We then localized the expression pattern of HAND2-AS1 and found that HAND2-AS1 expressed in both nucleus and cytoplasm (Figure 5B). Furthermore, energy stress significantly promoted the proportion of HAND2-AS1 localization in cytoplasm (Figure 5C). In addition, RIP assay showed a direct interaction between HAND2-AS1 and FBP protein; energy stress (1 mM glucose condition) dramatically promoted the enrichment of HAND2-AS1 by FBP1 antibody (Figure 5D). HAND2-AS1 knockdown increased the interaction between FBP1 and HIF1α mRNA under glucose starvation condition (Figure 5E). Taken together, these data indicate that HAND2-AS1 serves as a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) for FBP1, therefore inhibiting HIF1α protein level under energy stress conditions.

Discussion

It is nowadays widely accepted that the obesity pandemic is caused by a combination of external (such as nutrition, sedentary lifestyle) and internal (genetic) components. To respond to these perturbations, cells use epigenetic regulation to modulate the expression of their genome. LncRNAs are at the center of this epigenetic regulation and add another regulatory layer in biological processes and pathophysiological conditions. In this study, we performed high-throughput sequencing array to identify the potential lncRNA(s) that may participated in the energy metabolism of osteosarcoma cells. We found that lncRNA HAND2-AS1 was dramatically upregulated in osteosarcoma cells that were cultured in glucose starvation condition. Mechanistic investigations revealed that knockdown of HAND2-AS1 inhibited energy stress-induced apoptosis, promoted cell growth, glucose uptake and lactate production through inhibiting HIF1α (Figure 6).

A mounting body of evidence has continued to unequivocally demonstrate that cancer cells have altered metabolism [16]. One of the hallmark features of metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells is the enhanced glycolysis leading to lactate production even in the presence of oxygen [17]. However, how the altered metabolism occurs is still not well known. Previously, lncRNAs have been shown to play important roles during glucose metabolism by directly targeting glycolysis enzymes and indirectly targeting oncogenic signaling pathways [18]. By culturing the osteosarcoma cells under glucose starvation condition, we established the energy-stressed cells. HAND2-AS1 was finally identified as an altered expressed lncRNA in cells that cultured under glucose starvation condition based on the Hiseq profiling and qRT-PCR validation.

LncRNA HAND2-AS1 is located on chromosome 4q33-34 in a head-to-head orientation with Heart and Neural Crest Derivatives Expressed 2 (HAND2) gene. The genomic region encompassing HAND2-AS1 is known to generate multiple alternatively spliced transcripts.

Table 1. Identification of HAND2-AS1 binding proteins by MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Beads</th>
<th>HAND2-AS1</th>
<th>Ratio (HAND2-AS1/Beads)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBP1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2AF1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKRF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF1D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIMP2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARP2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STT3B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCH2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAS1L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARF6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLST</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERLN1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKAP8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTO1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP1B1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPM1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSDE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KTN1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beads: spectral counts of proteins in beads only group; HAND2-AS1: spectral counts of proteins in HAND2-AS1 group; Ratio (HAND2-AS1/Beads): spectral count ratio of proteins comparing HAND2-AS1 group to beads only group; NA: not available.
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Two isoforms (5278 bp and 4186 bp) represent major transcript variants of HAND2-AS1 in primary neuroblastoma [19], and the isoform of HAND2-AS1 reported in this study is the one with 5278 bp. As far as we known, the biological role of HAND2-AS1 in cancer is largely unknown. A previous study by Yang et al. demonstrated that HAND2-AS1 inhibited invasion and metastasis in endometrioid endometrial carcinoma through inactivating neuromedin U [20]. They also found that IncRNA HAND2-AS1 and HAND2 gene were down-regulated by promoter DNA hypermethylation in endometrioid endometrial carcinoma, indicating that HAND2-AS1 and HAND2 had a concordant role in the progression of endometrioid endometrial carcinoma. We investigated the biological role of HAND2-AS1 in glycometabolism, and found that HAND2-AS1 deficiency dramatically promoted cell growth and suppressed apoptosis. Reprogrammed energy metabolism as an emerging hallmark of cancer acquires metabolic changes (such as the dysregulations of key enzymes of energy metabolism) in order to sustain rapid proliferation and cell growth and adapt to the tumor microenvironment [21-23]. We also detected whether HAND2-AS1 regulated glucose metabolism through affecting the enzymes that are involved in the glycometabolism process, and identified that a panel of genes including Glut1, Glut3, HK2, ALDOC, and MCT4 were regulated by HAND2-AS1. Together, we demonstrated that IncRNA HAND2-AS1 regulated glucose metabolism in osteosarcoma cells through affecting the expression of a serious of enzymes involved in the energy metabolism.

Many transcription factors play important roles in the energy metabolism process [24]. The most popular model proposed for IncRNA function probably is the one that IncRNAs regulate gene expression via recruiting transcription factors to specific loci [25, 26]. This raises the possibility that HAND2-AS1 may regulate the transcription of target genes, such as HIF1α, HIF2α and c-Myc. This study identified that HAND2-AS1 exerted the regulatory function in energy metabolism through inhibiting HIF1α protein level. Increased HIF-1α levels have been found in many tumor types, accompanied by increased expression of HIF-1α target genes, including but not limited to VEGFA, PGK1, ANGPTL4, and HK2 [27]. The activation of HIF1α has been observed in osteosarcoma [28]; and clinically, osteosarcoma is commonly associated with abundant blood supply resulting from angiogenesis and glucose metabolism. Like other rapid growing solid tumors, osteosarcoma may develop a spatio-temporal hypoxic microenvironment during progression, hence activating HIF-1α. Our gain and loss functional assays validated that silence of HIF-1α in HAND2-AS1-knockdown cells to the level comparable to that in control cells reversed the expression change of glucose metabolism genes, indicating that HAND2-AS1 may functionally regulate HIF-1α under glucose starvation condition.

It is interesting that IncRNA HAND2-AS1 did not affect the expression level of HIF-1α mRNA or HIF-1α protein stability. To further explore how HAND2-AS1 regulates the protein level of HIF-1α, we performed RNA pulldown and mass spectrometry, and eventually identified that HAND2-AS1 physically interacted with FBP1
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gene. Moreover, energy stress also promoted the proportion of HAND2-AS1 localized in cytoplasm and elevated the interaction between HAND2-AS1 and FBP1 gene. FBP1 is a key regulatory enzyme during the process of glucose-neogenesis, which can block the glycolysis, by converting 1,6-fructose diphosphate to fructose-6-phosphate [29]. It has been reported that deletion of FBP1 expression is found in liver, gastric and colon cancers, which can accelerate glucose uptake and glycolysis [30, 31].

Our data revealed an lncRNA network that, under energy stress, HAND2-AS1 interacted with FBP1, and might serve as a ceRNA to repress FBP1 from binding to HIF-1α mRNA, leading to suppression of HIF-1α protein levels, prevention of glucose uptake/lactate production and osteosarcoma carcinogenesis.

In conclusion, our study revealed an lncRNA-involved regulatory pathway to mediate HIF-1α levels under glucose starvation condition. First, energy stress induced lncRNA HAND2-AS1 expression in osteosarcoma cells. Second, knockdown of HAND2-AS1 promoted glucose metabolism under energy stress condition through interacting with FBP1 and promoting HIF-1α. Therefore, lncRNA HAND2-AS1 may be an important gene involved in osteosarcoma metabolism, restoration of HAND2-AS1 levels could be a future direction to overcome osteosarcoma cell proliferation and progression.
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