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Morphine modulates doxorubicin uptake and improves efficacy of chemotherapy in an intracranial xenograft model of human glioblastoma
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Abstract: Morphine may alter the permeability of Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB), enhancing the access of molecules normally unable to cross it, as Doxorubicin (Dox). In addition, morphine seems to mediate the uptake of Dox into the brain by its reduced efflux mediated by P-glycoprotein (P-gp). We evaluated the antitumor efficacy of Dox plus morphine treatment by an orthotopic glioblastoma xenograft model. Foxn1 mice were injected with U87MG-luc cells in the left lobe of the brain and treated with Dox (5 mg/kg and 2.5 mg/kg, weekly) with or without morphine pre-treatment (10 mg/kg, weekly). Bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was used to monitoring tumor growth and response to therapy. Additionally, we investigated the role of morphine on the uptake of Dox by MDCKII cells transfected with human MDR1 gene encoding for P-gp. The data demonstrate that only Dox 5 mg/kg determined a significant tumor regression while the lower dose (2.5 mg/kg) was not effective. However, if combined with morphine, the group treated with Dox 2.5 mg/kg showed a decreasing tumor growth. The average BLI for Dox 2.5 mg/kg plus morphine was 5 fold lower than Dox 2.5 mg/kg alone (P=0.0053) and 8 fold lower than vehicle (P=0.0004). Additionally, Dox increased in MDCKII-P-gp transfected cells only in the presence of morphine with a significantly higher level comparing control group (3.84) vs Dox plus morphine group (12.29, P<0.05). Our results indicate that Dox alone and in combination with morphine appear to be effective in controlling the growth of glioblastoma in a xenograft mouse model.
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Introduction

For over 30 years, anthracyclines, and specially Doxorubicin (Dox), have been used as frontline agents for treating cancer. Unfortunately, Central Nervous System (CNS) tumors seem to be resistant to their use, being these molecules incapable of crossing the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB).

Clinical trials using new agents for targeted therapy of primary malignant gliomas have often shown no significant results in term of overall survival and progression-free survival [1]. Preclinical studies and some phase I/II clinical trials considering different formulations of Dox, have proved their activity and safety in treatment of brain tumors [2, 3].

Intriguingly, Dox, when delivered locally, is an effective monotherapeutic agent against experimental intracranial glioma: it significantly prolongs survival of rodents bearing malignant brain tumors [4]. Moreover, the prolonged exposure to anthracyclines (96-hours) seems to induce a significant apoptosis rate in resistant glioblastoma stem cells [5].

Interestingly, our group has recently demonstrated the therapeutic efficacy of some agents as morphine and ondansetron in facilitating Dox penetration inside the rat brain, without increased acute toxicity [6, 7].

Morphine is the most frequently employed analgesic in pain therapy and its use is well documented during antineoplastic agents adminis-
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Conflicting data on the effect of morphine on tumor growth have been published but little is known about its impact on the chemotherapeutic effect of doxorubicin [8]. In addition, morphine or other psychostimulant drugs are able to alter the neuronal and glial microenvironment, leading to the stroke of the BBB [9-11].

Based on these observations, morphine may act as a “doorkeeper”, increasing the access of molecules normally unable to cross the BBB, as chemotherapeutic drugs.

BBB also regulates drug uptake into the brain by a broad range of transport proteins. Among these, P-glycoprotein (P-gp) plays the major role in the failure of cancer therapy [12]. P-gp belongs to the ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters and exhibits a broad substrate specificity interacting with a wide range of molecules, as doxorubicin [13] and morphine [14].

In the present study we have developed a xenograft mouse model of brain tumor in order to verify the effectiveness of doxorubicin alone or in association with morphine against glioblastoma cells.

Thus, we have supposed an involvement of morphine in the regulation of doxorubicin efflux mediated by P-gp at the BBB level. Therefore, we have investigated the effect of morphine on the cellular uptake of doxorubicin by MDCKII cells transfected with human MDR1 gene encoding for P-gp as in vitro BBB model.

Materials and methods

Cell line and animals

U87MG-luc2, a luciferase expressing glioblastoma (GBM) cell line stably transfected with firefly luciferase gene (luc2 vector), was obtained by PerkinElmer (PerkinElmer Italia S.P.A., Monza, Italy) and used in vivo to establish an orthotopic brain tumor model.

Parental and P-gp transfected Madin-Darby canine kidney epithelial cells (MDCKII) were obtained from the Netherlands Cancer Institute (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Both cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The MDCKII model shows restrictive paracellular pathway and BBB-like discriminative passive permeability which makes it a commonly used tool to study the P-gp-mediated drug transport [15].

Drug administration and time-treatment

Dox (Doxorubicina Teva, 50 mg) and morphine (Morfina Cloridrato Molteni, 10 mg/ml, solution for injection) were obtained from commercial sources (Teva and Molteni & C s.p.a.) and prepared on each day of injection in physiological saline solution at a concentration of 50 mg/25 ml and 10 mg/4 ml, respectively.

Dox was administered intravenously (IV, tail vein) in a volume of 5 ml/kg to achieve a dose level of 5 mg/kg and 2.5 mg/kg per injection; morphine was administered subcutaneously (SC) in a volume of 5 ml/kg to achieve a dose level of 10 mg/kg per injection.

The drug administration started 7 days after intracranial implantation of GBM cells and it was as follow: a weekly morphine dose by SC injection (i.e., 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 days after cell implantation) followed by (1 hour after the morphine administration) a weekly dose of doxorubicin IV (i.e., 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 days after cell implantation). Control mice received an equivalent volume of physiological saline solution (IV, tail vein) once a week for 5 weeks.

The phase 1 study consisted of 8 physiologic solution-treated control mice (Group 1), 7 morphine 10 mg/kg-treated mice (Group 2), 7 doxorubicin 5 mg/kg-treated mice (Group 3) and 7 mor-
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Phine plus Dox 5 mg/kg-treated mice (Group 4). The treatment was performed from day + 7 (start) to day + 35 (end).

At day 39, all animals were sacrificed by CO₂ inhalation.

The phase 2 study consisted of 4 physiologic solution-treated control mice (Group 1), 4 morphine 10 mg/kg-treated mice (Group 2), 4 Dox 2.5 mg/kg-treated mice (Group 3), 4 Dox 5 mg/kg (Group 4), and 4 morphine plus Dox 2.5 mg/kg-treated mice (Group 5). The treatment was performed from day + 7 (start) to day + 35 (end). One week after (day + 42), all animals were sacrificed by CO₂ inhalation.

**Bioluminescence measurement**

BLI was used to monitoring tumor growth and response to therapy by IVIS spectrum image system. Bioluminescence IVIS acquisitions were performed at day 0, 3, 7 and then weekly until the end of experiment. Animals received 150 mg/kg/10 mL D-luciferin (D-luciferin potassium salt 1G, PerkinElmer) by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection and 30 minutes after the luciferin administration were anesthetized by gas anesthesia (3% isoflurane) and placed into black paper in the IVIS Imaging System box to be imaged.

BLI was expressed as a total radiance in photons per sec/cm² per steradian.

**Clinical signs and mortality**

All animals were weighed 3 times/week during the whole treatment. The body weight loss (BWL) was determined as follows: body weight loss percent (% BWL max) = 100 - (mean BW day x/mean BW day 1 × 100), where BWx is the mean BW at the day of maximal loss during the experiment and BW1 is the mean BW on the 1st day of experimental period.

Animal welfare was daily monitored and animals were sacrificed by CO₂ inhalation one week after the last administration (before if observed states of suffering and/or a severe weight loss (BWL>15% BW). Physical appearance, behavior and general and local clinical signs of the animals were observed throughout experiment. Any deviation from normality was recorded.

**Cellular uptake experiments**

Uptake experiments of fluorescent dyes were performed by using a previously reported method [16].

Briefly, parental and P-gp transfected MDCKII cells were seeded on 6-well plates (1 × 10⁶/well) two days before the experiments. Culture medium was removed; cells were washed twice with 2 ml of pre-warmed PBS and then pre-incubated in Opti MEM medium for 15 min at 37°. After pre-incubation, morphine and Dox (suitable for fluorescence, Sigma) were added at the final concentration of 20 µM and 0.5 µg/mL, respectively.

The drug uptake was allowed for 2 h at 37° on dark and arrested by prompt cooling on ice and removal of medium. Each well was washed twice with ice-cold PBS and trypsinized; cells were suspended in PBS supplemented with 2.5% of FBS. Dox fluorescence was determined in 8,000 events for each sample by using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA, USA), equipped with a 15-mW argon-ion laser for excitation.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Radiance data for experimental groups were assessed by Dixon’s test. Statistical analysis was done by 2-way ANOVA followed by the post hoc Bonferroni-corrected t-test for BLI and by 1-way ANOVA for fluorescence data, using GraphPad Prism 5. P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effect of Dox on glioblastoma growth in mouse xenograft model**

In order to evaluate the effectiveness of Dox, with or without morphine pre-treatment, on growth performances of GBM cells, 31 female Foxn1 mice have received intracranial injection of 3 × 10⁶ U87MG-luc cells. One week after the cells implant, 29 mice were randomized, on the basis of single tumor bioluminescence value, in 4 experimental groups.

On the same day of the randomization the treatments started according to the following schedule:
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GP 1 (n=8): physiological solution (IV), q7dx5w; GP 2 (n=7): morphine 10 mg/kg (SC), q7dx5w; GP 3 (n=7): Dox 5 mg/kg (IV), q7dx5w; GP 4 (n=7): morphine 10 mg/kg (SC), q7dx5w, plus Dox 5 mg/kg (IV, 1 hour after morphine administration), q7dx5w.

Tumor growth (IVIS) and weight were carried out weekly.

The images of BLI acquisition of brain tumors on days +7 (start of treatment) and day +39 (sacrifice) are shown in Figure 1.

Two mice (one for GP2 and GP4 groups, respectively) had no detectable tumors with low BLI level during the whole experiment. At the 39 time-point, one mouse for GP1 and GP3 groups died before the image process. Small tumors were detected on day 7 in all animals.

On day 39, BLI showed increasing radiances corresponding to increasing tumor growth in control and morphine-treated mice. In contrast, tumor-bearing mice treated with Dox or Dox plus morphine exhibited a significant re-

Figure 1. Images of BLI acquisition of brain tumor on day 7 (start of treatment) and day 39 (sacrifice). The colorimetric scale represents the range of radiances values (red=highest value; blue=lowest value) which translates to tumor growth. For each group the yellow bar indicates the animals excluded from the analysis by Dixon’s test (2 higher values of each group).
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At the end of experiment, significant differences were observed between GP1 and GP3-4 groups. On day 35, the mean of IVIS data in Dox-treated mice was 3.62E + 05 (P<0.001), in those treated with Dox plus morphine was 2.36E + 05 (P<0.001); on day 39, the mean of IVIS data in Dox-treated mice was 3.99E + 05 (P<0.001), in those treated with Dox plus morphine was 4.84E + 05 (P<0.001). In both cases, the tumor growth was substantially reduced when compared to untreated mice (2.37E + 06 and 2.43E + 06, respectively) (Figure 2).

Additionally, the TVI (tumor volume inhibition) which represents the rate (%) of signal decreasing compared to the control group (from day + 7 to day + 39) was 87.36% and 87.71% in Dox and Dox plus morphine-treated mice respectively, with no statistical significant difference among these groups. On the other hand, the combined morphine-Dox treatment caused a moderate body weight loss (-11%), demonstrating its severity (Figure 3).

**Effect of morphine-low Dox regime on mice carrying glioblastoma xenograft**

Following the same experimental design described above, 3 × 10⁸ U87MG-luc cells were injected in 20 female Foxn1 mice which were randomized, on the basis of single tumor bioluminescence value, in 5 experimental groups as follow:

- GP 1 (n=4): physiological solution (IV), q7dx5w;
- GP 2 (n=4): morphine 10 mg/kg (SC), q7dx5w;
- GP 3 (n=4): Dox 2.5 mg/kg (IV), q7dx5w; GP 4 (n=4): Dox 5 mg/kg (IV), q7dx5w; GP 5 (n=4): morphine 10 mg/kg (SC), q7dx5w, plus Dox 2.5 mg/kg (IV, 1 hour after the morphine administration), q7dx5w.

Bioluminescence IVIS acquisitions were performed at day 0, 3, 7 and then weekly until the sacrifice (day + 42) in all animals of GP4 and GP5 groups. Two mice (one for GP1 and one for GP2 groups) had a missing value at the 42 time point, dying before the last acquisition; one mouse for GP3 was excluded due to a poor tumor engraftment.

In the first 14 days following the starting treatment, all animals had developed tumors of varying size without significant difference between treated- and untreated mice. At the 35 and 42 time points, the effect of Dox alone or combined to morphine on tumor growth appeared clear.

As showed in Figure 4, only Dox 5 mg/kg determined a significant regression of BLI in a xenograft mouse model of brain glioma while the lower dose of 2.5 mg/kg was not effective, confirming the results of previous experiment. The average BLI for Dox 5 mg/kg was 5 fold lower than that measured for Dox 2.5 mg/kg (P=0.0238) and morphine 10 mg/kg (P=0.0098) and 8 fold lower than vehicle (P=0.0012).

However, if combined with morphine, Dox 2.5 mg/kg showed a decreasing radiance values corresponding to decreasing tumor growth.
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The average BLI for Dox 2.5 mg/kg plus morphine was 5 fold lower \( (P=0.0053) \) than Dox 2.5 mg/kg alone and 8-fold lower than vehicle \( (P=0.0004) \). On day 42, the mean of IVIS data in Dox 2.5 mg/kg-treated mice was \( 1.10E + 06 \) (no significant difference compared to \( 1.67E + 06 \) value of vehicle and \( 9.47E + 05 \) value of morphine) while in those pre-treated with morphine was \( 2.04E + 05 \), likewise to Dox 5 mg/kg-treated mice \( (2.23E + 05) \) \( (P<0.05) \).

Even more interesting, the average BLI for Dox 2.5 mg/kg plus morphine was lower than Dox 5 mg/kg during the whole experiment (Figure 5B), indicating the effectiveness of low Dox...
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In addition, a weekly schedule (up to 5 weeks) with a combination of 10 mg/kg morphine (1 h before Dox administration) and 2.5 mg/kg Dox did not cause a body weight loss of animals; rather, it induced a body weight gain of + 7.98% (on day 42) as a clear signal of minimal toxicity (data not shown).

Uptake of Dox in a BBB in vitro model

We investigated the molecular mechanism underlying the interaction between Dox and morphine. We focused on the role of morphine in the regulation of Dox efflux mediated by P-gp.

Parental and P-gp transfected MDCKII cell lines were used in order to study the Dox uptake when its administration was performed in presence or absence of morphine.

The data showed a Dox accumulation in MDCKII parental cells, without statistically significant difference between Dox and Dox plus morphine groups. The Dox level was significantly increased comparing control group (4.88) vs Dox group (24.4, P<0.01) and Dox plus morphine group (19.82, P<0.05) (Figure 6A).

On the contrary, fluorescence data on MDCKII P-gp transfected cells indicated no Dox accumulation in both control and Dox groups. Dox increased in MDCKII P-gp transfected cells when its administration was performed in the presence of morphine. The Dox level was significantly higher comparing control group (3.84) vs Dox plus morphine group (12.29, P<0.05) (Figure 6B).

Discussion

Most of the antineoplastic agents either do not penetrate into the CNS or do not arrive in appropriate amounts, so high doses of drugs are required systemically to obtain therapeutic concentrations. The inability to cross the BBB is the major hurdle for using Dox whose effectiveness against tumor cells is well documented in primary cultures [17]. In order to find a safe and reliable method to enhance drug delivery into the brain, several innovative strategies have been proposed, but most of these involve invasive procedures.

Morphine induces a transient alteration of BBB permeability to large molecules in a rat model [9]. Our recent preclinical model has documented a 3-fold increase of brain Dox levels when its administration is performed in the presence of therapeutic plasma levels of morphine [6, 7].
On an observation that the enhanced toxicity of dimethyl sulfoxide in patients receiving morphine during hematopoietic stem cell transplantation seemed to be due to a BBB interference [18], we have developed an orthotopic xenograft mice model of glioblastoma with the aim to evaluate the Dox activity after morphine pretreatment.

In the first experiment, 5 weekly doses of 5 mg/kg Dox (a cumulative dose of 25 mg/kg body weight with or without morphine pre-treatment) on brain tumor seemed too high; at day 39 from starting treatment tumor volumes in Dox-treated mice were 6-fold smaller than the vehicle (Figure 1), with no statistical significant difference between Dox alone or combined to morphine (Figure 2).

Based on literature and our previous observation on a rat model, it was indeed very surprising that Dox alone produced a significant delay in the growth of xenografted glioma.

Yet, its anti-glioma activity has been evaluated by U87MG-luc cells that on the one hand are considered an efficient and accurate model for assessing early tumor development and response to therapy [19], on the other they described to have a leaky BBB that makes them accessible to drug treatments [20]. Therefore, it is possible to assume that the dose regime and schedule described above are themselves effective on U87MG-luc cells and the combined treatment with morphine does not enhance the effect on tumor inhibition. It has only caused a moderate body weight loss (Figure 3), demonstrating its toxicity.

In contrast, the use of half the dose of Dox (2.5 mg/kg) did not lead to tumor regression (Figure 4). This observation agrees with a number of studies on human tumor xenograft models that describe a not active Dox when it is administered in small doses [21-23].

Interestingly, Dox 2.5 mg/kg when combined to morphine showed a decreasing radiancy values corresponding to decreasing tumor growth (Figure 5A), with an average BLI lower than Dox 5 mg/kg (Figure 5B).

Moreover, a weekly schedule (up to 5 weeks) with a pretreatment of 10 mg/kg morphine (1 h before Dox administration) and 2.5 mg/kg Dox did not cause a body weight loss of animals; rather, it induced a body weight gain of + 7.98% (on day 42) as a clear signal of minimal toxicity (data not shown). Finally, it is noteworthy that a cumulative Dox dose of 12.5 mg/kg (37 mg/m²) [24] is clinically achievable and it is 2 times less than the therapeutic dose of 60 to 75 mg/m² commonly used in cancer treatment [3, 25].

It is therefore conceivable that morphine may induce a transient alteration of the permeability of BBB, enhancing the spread of drugs normally unable to cross the BBB, even if administered to a low dose regimen.

In addition, since morphine and Dox use the same efflux channel on the BBB, morphine may likely act as an agonist of Dox efflux, allowing the access of drug into brain parenchyma [26].

We showed a similar uptake of Dox, with or without morphine, in MDCKII parental cells (Figure 6A); in contrast, compared to MDCKII P-gp transfected cells treated with Dox alone, the same cells treated with Dox plus morphine contained higher amount of intracellular Dox (Figure 6B), indicating the effect of morphine on drug efflux pump and suggesting the possibility that morphine enhances the effect of Dox through negative regulation of the ATP binding cassette transporter.

In conclusion, based on our preliminary in vivo and in vitro experience and literature results, morphine seems to facilitate the passage of Dox into the brain parenchyma through the interference with efflux pumps on BBB. These data on a rodent model will enable us to novel therapeutic approaches for glioblastoma and other refractory brain tumors where anticancer drugs are usually cleared by the BBB.
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